

**JAN 13 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL J. WOLD,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 04-30409

D.C. No. CR-03-00306-JCC

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
John C. Coughenour, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 9, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: HUG, O'SCANNLAIN and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Michael J. Wold appeals from the 46-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for bank fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Because appellant was sentenced under the then-mandatory Sentencing Guidelines, and we cannot reliably determine from the record whether the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court known that the Guidelines were advisory, we remand to the sentencing court to answer that question, and to proceed pursuant to *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073, 1084-85 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc). See *United States v. Moreno-Hernandez*, 419 F.3d 906, 916 (9th Cir. 2005) (extending *Ameline*'s limited remand procedure to cases involving non-constitutional error under *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)); see also *United States v. Velasquez-Reyes*, 427 F.3d 1227, 1228 (9th Cir. 2005) (rejecting contention that prior convictions must be proved to a jury if not admitted by the defendant and reaffirming that *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (2005), has not been overruled).

**REMANDED.**